

	YES	NO	Comments	
2003 Fynamore	✓			Primary
2004 Greentrees	✓			Primary
2005 Nursteed				Primary
2006 The Mead	✓			Primary
2008 Fitzmaurice	✓			Primary
2009 Bratton County				Primary
2022 Ivy Lane, Chippenham				Primary
2023 St. Pauls Primary				Primary
2028 Corsham				Primary
2029 Lypiatt		✓		Primary
2031 Neston				Primary
2032 Corsham Regis				Primary
2034 Monkton Park				Primary
2037 Southbroom Infants				Primary
2040 Easton Royal				Primary
2045 Gomeldon				Primary
2052 Hilmarton				Primary
2053 Horningsham				Primary
2060 Luckington Community				Primary
			The council should be well aware of any schools that may have financial control issued through technician visits, audit previous FMSIS, trial balances, I & E returns etc. Monitoring those with proven issued is already within the remit. Further challenges simply on balance thresholds is an inefficiency of time and money.	
2065 Larkhill		✓		Primary
2086 Stanton St Quintin	✓			Primary
2087 Ramsbury		✓		Primary
2091 Harnham Infant				Primary
2134 New Close				Primary
			If governors hold excess reserves, challenge may help them develop more effective budgeting. Also if they do not need all of their funds it could be re-directed to those who do.	
2136 Westbury Infants	✓			Primary
2137 Westwood with Iford				Primary
2140 Wootton Bassett Infants				Primary
2157 Wyndham Park Infants				Primary
2159 Kiwi	✓			Primary
2162 Noremarsch Junior				Primary
2168 Priestley				Primary
2170 Grove				Primary
2178 Princecroft		✓		Primary
2180 Redland		✓		Primary
2184 Longleaze				Primary
2185 Mere				Primary
2190 Woodlands				Primary
2191 Manor Fields	✓			Primary
2192 Pembroke Park				Primary
2193 Wansdyke Community		✓		Primary
2196 Holbrook				Primary
2198 Ludwell				Primary
2202 St Sampsons Infant	✓			Primary
2208 Pewsey	✓			Primary
2216 Burbage	✓			Primary
2218 Kings Lodge County	✓			Primary
2222 Walwayne Court				Primary
2223 Bowerhill				Primary
2225 Bitham Brook				Primary
2226 Chippenham Charter				Primary
2227 Newtown Community		✓		Primary
2228 Queens Crescent	✓			Primary
2230 Longmeadow	✓		It would be foolish not to do so!	Primary
3000 All Cannings CE	✓			Primary
3002 Ashton Keynes C of E				Primary

	YES	NO	Comments	
3013 Box C of E				Primary
3015 Christ Church				Primary
3017 Longford C of E VC		✓	This was discussed as a governors finance sub-committee on 27/1/11	Primary
3018 Broad Hinton C of E				Primary
3019 Broad Town C of E		✓	I am very concerned of the impact of this on small schools. 8% really limits my strategic plans for the future. As a small school every penny counts and to enable us to maintain our staffing structure & the future of the school this clawback decision seems very unfair when we might end up in a deficit as a result of the clawback. It does not encourage schools to plan for best value for money. I can spend money on a range of resources but not have enough to maintain my staff the following year. I would like to arrange a meeting with you to discuss my concerns further. I would also like to know what form of monitoring are in place to see the impact on small schools.	Primary
3020 St. Nicholas C of E VC , Bromham	✓			Primary
3021 St Marys Broughton Gifford				Primary
3022 Bulford St Leonards				Primary
3023 St Katherines C of E Controlled				Primary
3030 St Dunstan				Primary
3035 Cherhill C of E				Primary
3036 Chirton C of E			I am abstaining on this one as I can't honestly say I understand the implications fully enough to make a sensible judgement. My feeling is that challenge on the whole balance is asking us to be very certain in an increasingly uncertain financial climate.	Primary
3038 Christian Malford C of E				Primary
3040 Colerne C of E		✓	It's impractical, unnecessary and yet again another example of the ridiculous beurocracy heaped upon our schools.	Primary
3045 St. Sampsons C E Junior				Primary
3047 Crockerton C of E		✓		Primary
3048 Crudwell C of E				Primary
3049 Collingbourne C of E				Primary
3056 Southbroom C.E.Junior				Primary
3061 Durrington All Saints Infant				Primary
3063 Durrington C of E Controlled Junior		✓	We don't see the positive impact this would make, other than increasing workload on schools. We feel we already view the budget as a 'whole' and have justified rollover in these terms.	Primary
3071 Figheldean St Michaels C of E	✓			Primary
3078 Grafton				Primary
3086 Heddington C of E				Primary
3088 Hilperton C of E				Primary
3090 Holt V C	✓			Primary
3091 Hullavington C of E				Primary
3094 Keevil C of E Aided				Primary
3096 Kington St Michael C of E	✓			Primary
3100 Lacock CE VC Primary				Primary
3102 Langley Fitzurse C of E.				Primary

	YES	NO	Comments	
3104 Lea & Garsdon C of E		✓		Primary
3110 Lydiard Millicent C of E				Primary
3117 Malmesbury C of E				Primary
			It seems unfair to ask one school that exceeds the % by say £500 to account for ALL of the surplus and another that is £500 under not to. Either ALL schools should give plans for ANY surplus or none.	
3123 St Marys C.E. Infant , Marlborough		✓		Primary
3134 Newton Tony C of E				Primary
3135 North Bradley C of E				Primary
3140 Oaksey C of E VC				Primary
3141 Oare Cof E				Primary
3143 Ogbourne St. George				Primary
3149 Preshute				Primary
3150 St. Marys C of E, Purton	✓			Primary
			Unable to respond as I do not know what form the challenge will take.	
3158 Harnham Junior				Primary
3159 Seagry C of E				Primary
3160 St. Georges C of E				Primary
3161 Shalbourne C of E				Primary
3162 Shaw C of E				Primary
3163 Sherston C of E				Primary
3164 Shrewton C of E				Primary
3166 Southwick C of E				Primary
3170 Staverton C of E				Primary
3172 Stratford-Sub-Castle VC				Primary
3174 Sutton Veny CE				Primary
3176 St Marks C of E Junior, Salisbury				Primary
3186 Urchfont C of E				Primary
3190 St John's C E , Warminster				Primary
3191 The Minster CE				Primary
3192 Westbury C.E. Junior				Primary
3193 Westbury Leigh C.E	✓			Primary
3199 Winsley C of E	✓			Primary
3201 Winterbourne Earls C E Controlled				Primary
3203 St Bartholomews CE	✓			Primary
3205 Sambourne C E				Primary
3207 Dilton Marsh C of E				Primary
3216 St. Peters Junior , Marlborough				Primary
			Paperwork overload is huge - this is just more of the same and what does it achieve?	
3220 Minety C of E		✓		Primary
3222 St. Barnabas				Primary
3229 Coombe Bissett				Primary
3230 Dinton C of E	✓			Primary
3239 St John's C of E, Tisbury	✓			Primary
3242 Brinkworth Earl Danbys C of E				Primary
3243 Great Bedwyn C of E Controlled				Primary
3244 By Brook Valley C of E				Primary
3300 St Michaels, Aldbourne Marlborough				Primary
3306 St Nicholas C of E				Primary
3308 Bishops Cannings C. E. (Aided)	✓			Primary
3316 Chapmanslade C of E VA				Primary
3318 Chilton Foliat C of E				Primary
3319 St Peters , Chippenham		✓		Primary
3330 Derry Hill C of E (Aided)	✓			Primary
3331 St Peters C ofE Aided, Devizes				Primary

	YES	NO	Comments	
3344 Forest & Sandridge C of E	✓		I agree with the proposal as this will promote increased accountability, as it may be schools need further advice on how to make best use of their funding, rather than to have money taken away. It is fair enough to take back the % amount exceeded as per existing guidelines if this cannot be allocated to essential expenditure requirements, and I can confirm, our school for one, certainly does not use the % figure as a target.	Primary
3352 Heytesbury C.E. Aided				Primary
3355 St Nicholas, Porton	✓			Primary
3362 St. Andrews, Laverstock				Primary
3366 Morgans Vale & Woodfalls C of E VA	✓		We have clear reasons why we are operating a surplus in the short term and will happily account for this with County and it is part of our 3 year spending plan. We would expect all schools operating with a surplus to do likewise.	Primary
3372 The New Forest C of E VA		✓	In these times of budget cuts etc. schools should be encouraged to prepare for leaner times by good budget management. This 'cushion' allows them to deal with shortfalls so avoiding redundancies etc. Only the balance over the threshold should be accounted for.	Primary
3381 Rushall C of E VA		✓		Primary
3383 Sarum St. Pauls C of E VA				Primary
3387 St Martins C of E				Primary
3388 Seend C of E Aided				Primary
3396 St Thomas aBecket C.E. Aided				Primary
3400 West Ashton C.E				Primary
3401 Dauntseys Aided				Primary
3402 Whiteparish All Saints C of E VA				Primary
3405 Winterslow				Primary
3406 Woodborough C.E				Primary
3407 Woodford Valley CE		✓		Primary
3412 Christ the King RC				Primary
3418 St Josephs Catholic	✓			Primary
3425 St Osmunds Catholic				Primary
3430 St John's Catholic, Trowbridge				Primary
3435 Wardour Catholic				Primary
3437 St. Patricks R.C. Corsham		✓	Agree that schools going over the allowed % should be able to justify the over % rollover only not the whole of their balance.	Primary
3448 Bemerton St Johns CE				Primary
3449 Broad Chalke C of E Aided	✓			Primary
3450 Great Wishford				Primary
3453 Chilmark	✓			Primary

	YES	NO	Comments	
3454 Semley C of E VA		✓	In small schools the margins are very small and the sums involved are very easily significantly altered from one year to the next. For example it is quite possible to be struggling to remain below the 8% rollover one year while simultaneously knowing that there may be a deficit budget the following year. The loss of a very few children or one or two staff crossing the threshold can make a huge difference when you are operating on a small budget. Too much pressure on the 8% sum prevents good housekeeping - and encourages profligate spending just to ensure that the school remains below the rollover where a more practical action would be to carry forward the surplus to fund a lean year. Please consider that different criteria may be needed for different sizes of school.	Primary
3456 Holy Trinity C of E Aided, Great Cheverell		✓	It is already difficult to plan for unexpected expenditure - effectively this means no part of a budget can be left for emergencies - long term sickness of an uninsured HLTA, sudden increase/decrease in pupil numbers etc, because all of it must be committed to some project - likely to be of a capital nature.	Primary
3457 Walter Powell C of E VA				Primary
3459 Hindon C of E VA				Primary
3460 Alderbury & West Grimstead C of E				Primary
3461 Kennet Valley C of E				Primary
3462 Amesbury Archer				Primary
3463 Whitesheet				Primary
3465 Wylve Valley C of E VA		✓		Primary
3466 The Manor				Primary
3467 Churchfields The village School				Primary
3468 Amesbury Primary				Primary
3469 Five Lanes				Primary
3470 Wilton & Barford				Primary
3471 Lyneham Primary				Primary
3472 Bellefield C of E Primary & Nursery	✓		Schools may be less reticent to some if we were ever given a decent forward view of what to expect!	Primary
4000 Abbeyfield				Secondary
4001 Wyvern College				Secondary
4006 The Trafalgar School at Downton	✓			Secondary
4013 Melksham Oak		✓		Secondary
4064 Malmesbury	✓			Secondary
4066 The Corsham				Secondary
4067 Wootton Bassett				Secondary
4069 The Clarendon				Secondary
4070 The Stonehenge				Secondary
4071 Avon Valley College				Secondary
4072 Kingdown		✓	No, I think they should only have to explain the higher amount. We all need to keep contingencies and funds for staffing & projects through the academic year.	Secondary
4075 The John of Gaunt				Secondary

	YES	NO	Comments	
4511 St Edmunds Girls	✓			Secondary
4537 St Laurence				Secondary
4610 St Josephs Catholic	✓			Secondary
5200 Aloeric				Primary
5201 Downton C of E VA				Primary
5202 King's Park Primary	✓			Primary
5204 St. Edmunds RC				Primary
5205 Frogwell				Primary
5206 Studley Green				Primary
5207 St.Georges Catholic		✓		Primary
5208 St Mary's R.C , Chippenham				Primary
5209 Paxcroft				Primary
5210 Wingfield C of E VA				Primary
5212 Sutton Benger	✓			Primary
5213 Holy Trinity C of E				Primary
5214 St Josephs Catholic, Devizes				Primary
5215 Castle				Primary
5216 Pitton C E				Primary
5217 Zouch	✓			Primary
5218 Clarendon Junior				Primary
5219 Clarendon Infants		✓		Primary
5222 Rowde C of E				Primary
5224 All Saints VA, Netheravon	✓			Primary
5225 Avenue				Primary
5400 St. Augustines Catholic College		✓		Secondary
5402 Lavington	✓			Secondary
5403 Pewsey Vale				Secondary
			The logic for this proposal does not appear to hold water when the position of schools which have balances just under the threshold is taken into account. If the scheme is to be extended, the options seem to be either to challenge schools above the threshold, but make provision to consider challenging those schools which consistently carry forward relatively "high" revenue balances, or require all schools to submit a surplus balance return. However, extending the scheme would seem to fly in the face of government policy which is focussed o delegating responsibility to schools.	
5404 Sheldon		✓		Secondary
5405 St Johns & Community College				Secondary
5406 The John Bentley				Secondary
5408 Bradon Forest				Secondary
5411 Devizes				Secondary
5412 South Wilts Grammar				Secondary
5413 Bishop Wordsworths				Secondary
5415 Matravers	✓			Secondary
7002 Rowdeford				Special
7007 Downland				Special
7008 Exeter House		✓		Special
7009 St Nicholas				Special
7010 Larkrise				Special
7015 Springfields				Special
Primary	38	25		
Secondary	6	4		
Special	0	1		

	Yes/Agree	No/Disagree	
Primary	38	25	63
Secondary	6	4	10
Special	0	1	1
Grand Total	44	30	74
	59.5%	40.5%	30.83%

Consultation comments

		YES	NO	Q1 - Do you agree with the proposal to challenge schools with revenue balances over the 5% and 8% thresholds, for secondary and primary/special schools respectively, to show that they have plans for their whole balance and not just that part of the balance that takes them over the threshold?
1	Primary		✓	The council should be well aware of any schools that may have financial control issued through technician visits, audit previous FMSIS, trial balances, I & E returns etc. Monitoring those with proven issued is already within the remit. Further challenges simply on balance thresholds is an inefficiency of time and money.
2	Primary	✓		If governors hold excess reserves, challenge may help them develop more effective budgeting. Also if they do not need all of their funds it could be re-directed to those who do.
3	Primary	✓		It would be foolish not to do so!
4	Primary		✓	This was discussed as a governors finance sub-committee on 27/1/11
5	Primary		✓	I am very concerned of the impact of this on small schools. 8% really limits my strategic plans for the future. As a small school every penny counts and to enable us to maintain our staffing structure & the future of the school this clawback decision seems very unfair when we might end up in a deficit as a result of the clawback. It does not encourage schools to plan for best value for money. I can spend money on a range of resources but not have enough to maintain my staff the following year. I would like to arrange a meeting with you to discuss my concerns further. I would also like to know what form of monitoring are in place to see the impact on small schools.
6	Primary			I am abstaining on this one as I can't honestly say I understand the implications fully enough to make a sensible judgement. My feeling is that challenge on the whole balance is asking us to be very certain in an increasingly uncertain financial climate.
7	Primary		✓	It's impractical, unnecessary and yet again another example of the ridiculous beaurocracy heaped upon our schools.
8	Primary		✓	We don't see the positive impact this would make, other than increasing workload on schools. We feel we already view the budget as a 'whole' and have justified rollover in these terms.
9	Primary		✓	It seems unfair to ask one school that exceeds the % by say £500 to account for ALL of the surplus and another that is £500 under not to. Either ALL schools should give plans for ANY surplus or none.
10	Primary			Unable to respond as I do not know what form the challenge will take.
11	Primary		✓	Paperwork overload is huge - this is just more of the same and what does it achieve?
12	Primary	✓		I agree with the proposal as this will promote increased accountability, as it may be schools need further advice on how to make best use of their funding, rather than to have money taken away. It is fair enough to take back the % amount exceeded as per existing guidelines if this cannot be allocated to essential expenditure requirements, and I can confirm, our school for one, certainly does not use the % figure as a target.
13	Primary	✓		We have clear reasons why we are operating a surplus in the short term and will happily account for this with County and it is part of our 3 year spending plan. We would expect all schools operating with a surplus to do likewise.
14	Primary		✓	In these times of budget cuts etc. schools should be encouraged to prepare for leaner times by good budget management. This 'cushion' allows them to deal with shortfalls so avoiding redundancies etc. Only the balance over the threshold should be accounted for.

Consultation comments

		YES	NO	Q1 - Do you agree with the proposal to challenge schools with revenue balances over the 5% and 8% thresholds, for secondary and primary/special schools respectively, to show that they have plans for their whole balance and not just that part of the balance that takes them over the threshold?
15	Primary		✓	Agree that schools going over the allowed % should be able to justify the over % rollover only not the whole of their balance.
16	Primary		✓	In small schools the margins are very small and the sums involved are very easily significantly altered from one year to the next. For example it is quite possible to be struggling to remain below the 8% rollover one year while simultaneously knowing that there may be a deficit budget the following year. The loss of a very few children or one or two staff crossing the threshold can make a huge difference when you are operating on a small budget. Too much pressure on the 8% sum prevents good housekeeping - and encourages profligate spending just to ensure that the school remains below the rollover where a more practical action would be to carry forward the surplus to fund a lean year. Please consider that different criteria may be needed for different sizes of school.
17	Primary		✓	It is already difficult to plan for unexpected expenditure - effectively this means no part of a budget can be left for emergencies - long term sickness of an uninsured HLTA, sudden increase/decrease in pupil numbers etc, because all of it must be committed to some project - likely to be of a capital nature.
18	Primary	✓		Schools may be less reticent to some if we were ever given a decent forward view of what to expect!
19	Secondary		✓	No, I think they should only have to explain the higher amount. We all need to keep contingencies and funds for staffing & projects through the academic year.
20	Secondary		✓	The logic for this proposal does not appear to hold water when the position of schools which have balances just under the threshold is taken into account. If the scheme is to be extended, the options seem to be either to challenge schools above the threshold, but make provision to consider challenging those schools which consistently carry forward relatively "high" revenue balances, or require all schools to submit a surplus balance return. However, extending the scheme would seem to fly in the face of government policy which is focussed on delegating responsibility to schools.